Anthelmintic resistance on sheep farms.

Multiple resistance to benzimidazole (Group 1), imidazothiazole (Group 2) and macrocyclic lactone (Group 3) anthelmintics (wormers) has been reported in sheep flocks as far apart as the south-east of Scotland, Devon and Northern Ireland, and is now probably widespread throughout the UK. In some flocks, the problem was first identified during the investigation of very poor liveweight gain in lambs, highlighted by their failure to reach finished weights by late autumn, while in other flocks the problem was identified by routine monitoring by veterinary practitioners.

Strategies must be implemented now to slow down the inevitable emergence of anthelmintic resistance, and for the control of helmint parasites in the face of anthelmintic resistance. These issues led to the establishment of new sheep worming guidelines, which have been widely promoted through the publication of a Sustainable Control of Parasites in Sheep (SCOPS) technical manual (available at www.nationalsheep.org.uk) for sheep farmers.

Selection for anthelmintic resistance occurs whenever helmints in their sheep host are exposed to anthelmintics. Genes expressing resistance to a particular anthelmintic or combination of anthelmintics are believed to be present at a very low frequency in unselected helmint populations, but with no survival advantage over susceptible genes. When anthelmintic treatment kills most of the susceptible helmints in the sheep, the faeces will contain mostly eggs of the surviving resistant parasites, which consequently make up a much greater proportion of succeeding generations.

This selection pressure is influenced by:
- the anthelmintic concentration the helmint is exposed to;
- the frequency and timing of anthelmintic treatment;
- the efficacy of the drug;
- the life expectancy, fecundity (egg production) and generation time of adult helmints; and
- the proportion of the helmint population exposed to the anthelmintic compared with that on pasture.

The 2004 SCOPS guidelines are summarised as –

1. Work out a control strategy with your veterinarian or advisor
2. Use effective quarantine strategies to prevent introduction of resistant worms
3. Test for anthelmintic resistance on your farm.
4. Administer anthelmintics effectively.
5. Use anthelmintics only when necessary.
6. Select the appropriate anthelmintic for the task.
7. Adopt strategies to preserve susceptible worms on the farm.
8. Reduce dependence on anthelmintics.

Recommendations aimed at ensuring that helmints are exposed to an effective anthelmintic concentration
**Underdosing**
Underdosing due to inaccurate judgment of sheep bodyweights and faulty dosing guns remains commonplace. Doses must be based upon the heaviest animals in the group (lambs, ewes and rams classified as different groups). Poor drenching technique, miscalculation of the correct dose volume and use of inaccurate weigh scales compounds the problem. Most drenches have a wide safety margin so if necessary, it is preferable to overestimate the required dose volume. Accurate estimation of lamb bodyweights can prove to be particularly difficult in ram breeding flocks. The problem is compounded by the fact that pedigree sheep are generally dosed more frequently than commercial animals, in an attempt to maximise production. Underdosing may also arise following incorrect storage of anthelmintic drugs, use of expired product, mixing incompatible drugs or chemicals before dosing, or use of products of dubious origin.

**Drug bioavailability**
Drug bioavailability may be reduced due to rapid flow of digesta through the intestines of scouring lambs, or due to the effects of liver disease, for example associated with subacute fluke, on drug metabolism.

The rate of selection for anthelmintic resistance by a helminth species is influenced by the proportion of its total population which is exposed to the drug. The greater the proportion of the helminth population exposed to the drug in its sheep host compared to that on pasture at the time of anthelmintic treatment, the faster the selection for resistance. Thus, the rate of selection for anthelmintic resistance is highest on those farms which achieve the most effective helminth control. Some of the 2004 SCOPS worming guidelines, therefore, inevitably involve a compromise between achieving adequate helminth control and reducing the rate of selection for anthelmintic resistance.

**Extend the interval between anthelmintic treatments**
Suppressive control of helminth parasites aimed at preventing pasture contamination with eggs and developing larvae, involves conventional anthelmintic treatment of susceptible sheep at intervals close to the interval between ingestion of the parasite as a larva and it producing eggs (around 3-4 weeks in summer). This high frequency treatment strategy has been an important factor in the emergence of anthelmintic resistance. Anthelmintic usage and selection for resistance could be reduced by extending the interval between treatments although this strategy may not prevent production losses due to parasitic gastroenteritis. This dilemma may be addressed by basing the timing of anthelmintic treatments on monitoring of faecal worm egg counts (FWECS). During spring and early summer on heavily stocked farms, lamb FWECS can increase rapidly, so substantial pasture contamination may already have occurred by that time that intervention targets are identified. These intervention targets must be based on previous knowledge of the epidemiology of parasitic gastroenteritis in individual flocks so seek veterinary advice.

**Avoid unnecessary anthelmintic treatments**
Reduce the reliance on anthelmintics by removing unnecessary treatments. In many flocks, ewes are treated with an anthelmintic before mating in the belief that the practice might improve lambing percentages. The benefits of this strategy are that if the ewes are in poor body condition, anthelmintic...
treatment before mating can lead to improved lambing percentages, provided that they are subsequently well fed. However, the disadvantages associated with anthelmintic treatment of ewes before mating are that it does not lead to improved lambing percentages where ewes are in good body condition, incurring unnecessary expense and effort. Furthermore the practice possibly selects for anthelmintic resistance by exposing parasites to anthelmintics at a time when a significant proportion are in an arrested, hard-to-kill state, and by affording surviving resistant helminths with a prolonged period during which they dominate egg production in immune sheep until ewes are treated again at lambing time.

It is therefore difficult to make general recommendations about anthelmintic treatment of ewes, apart from treatment at lambing time. Risks must be assessed for individual flocks and included in the flock health plan. In some cases, the best strategy may be only to treat lean ewes before mating. This strategy avoids unnecessary anthelmintic usage and permits limited pasture contamination by eggs of susceptible helminths shed by the untreated ewes.

Treatment of lambs may be unnecessary when they are grazed on safe pasture, or later in the year when they have acquired some immunity. In these situations, the decision to treat lambs or not, can be supported by monitoring of FWECs.

**Integrated helminth parasite control**

Reliance on anthelmintics may be reduced by the use of safe grazing. On many farms, the opportunity exists to introduce safe grazing for a proportion of the sheep flock by alternating annually between arable cropping or cattle grazing and sheep grazing.

![Fig 5: Supplementary concentrate feeding to compensate for poor parasite control earlier in the grazing season.](image)

All of the new recommendations concerned with anthelmintic treatments before a move onto safe pasture involve a compromise between helminth control and selection for anthelmintic resistance. This risk of selection for anthelmintic resistance may be reduced by leaving a proportion of the flock untreated. It may be possible to target anthelmintic treatments to scouring or lighter weight lambs, leaving faster growing animals untreated. Alternatively, the move onto safe grazing can be delayed for about 5 days after conventional anthelmintic treatment. When anthelmintic treatment is considered essential before a move to safe grazing, sheep grazing of the pasture should be avoided during the following season.
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*To test your knowledge and understanding of the control of this condition, try our instantly marked self assessments, by clicking here*